

Bournemouth 20-06-2024

Good afternoon.

Of course I want to continue my complaint. Officer Rose Pratt and Dorset Police illegally and without any evidence evicted me from my home, leaving me without a roof over my head. As a result, I also lost the ability to generate income from my company "Phones Rescue," my business was ruined, I was unable to repay my business loan, you ruined my credit score, and my family is suffering from hardship.

Here is a list of my allegations against Dorset Police and Officer Rose Pratt:

1. Lies told by Dorset Police officers about me in official police reports and evidence fabrication.

Officer Simon Shaw #1720 lied in official police reports by stating that the police prohibited me from returning home:

*I have considered a location ban for the home address and this would be suitable as he has previously ignored Police advice to leave the property and not return.*

Police Disclosure Page 34 D.42

*The Police asked him to stay away and he came back 5 minutes later.*

Police Disclosure Page 32 D.40

However, the police officer who spoke with me recorded something completely different from Simon Shaw's version:

*At this time, based on what has been said, there was no lawful power to prevent the male party from returning to the address.*

Police Disclosure Page 27 D.35

*Based on the informants account, as well as the male parties account, no offences were identified.*

Police Disclosure Page 27 D.35

*PC 598 states that it is difficult as he is on the tenancy and is technically allowed to be there.*

Police Disclosure Page 36 D.44

Police report and officer testimonies can be treated as evidence in court, so writing untruths by police officers can be classified as evidence fabrication. Not only the police rely on police reports, but also the CPS and courts, so writing untruths in police reports can have serious consequences for the accused. Individuals reading false information in police reports may draw false conclusions and accept this false information as truth. Officer Simon Shaw also lied by labelling me as an 'offender' in his report:

*The Victim [...] has been in relationship with the offender [...] for the last 3 years.*

Police Disclosure Page 33 D.41

In the police documents, you can read Officer Sarah Dutton's report:

*Suspect was arrested yesterday – occ 55230098162 relates – whereby he had pushed his ex-partner after a verbal DA earlier in the evening where she kicked him out of the house when she discovered he had cheated on her with her friend.*

Police Disclosure Page 10 D.18 and Page 36 D.44

Both the statement that I pushed my ex and the statement that I cheated on my partner are lies. My ex only testified that she "believes" I cheated on her, as stated in Officer Oliver Duell's report:

*Informants reports that she has ended her relationship with her partner due to believing that her partner had cheated on her*

Police Disclosure Page 27 D.35

OIC Rose Pratt also committed a crime by writing lies in her report. She wrote:

*Later in the evening Suspect came back to HA and pushed Victim*

Police Disclosure Page 31 D.39

Officers should act truthfully, trustworthy, and honestly  
Dorset Police officers lie in police reports. When I asked them to provide evidence for the accusations they made against me, I was completely ignored.

Police officers cannot knowingly make false, misleading, or inaccurate oral or written statements

As mentioned above, lying in police reports and making false police reports not only violates guidelines for police work but also is against the law.

## 2. Gender discrimination

I have reported three times to Dorset Police that my ex attacked me and broke my nose in November 2021:

- During a meeting with Officer Rose Pratt on June 25, 2023
- By phone on July 9, 2023 – Officer dealing 0482 – occ 55230099012
- Online – Officer Emma Chubb – occ 55230106821 - Officer dealing 0105 Rose Pratt

To this day (March 16, 2024), no action has been taken against my ex. On November 11, 2023, I received an email with the following content:

Hi Andrzej,

*I just wanted to clarify a few bits in relation to our call earlier – the matter that you previously reported has actually been filed by the original investigating officer. As I mentioned as you are currently under investigation in relation to another matter we cannot investigate a counter-accusation whilst this is the case.*

*As such once the current investigation has been concluded then if you wish to pursue a complaint against your ex-partner then the original investigating officer can take these details.*

*Kind regards*

*Emma CHUBB*

During the court hearing on January 23, 2024, District Judge Michael Veal informed me that there were no legal impediments for me to contact Dorset Police so that they could finally start an investigation against my ex.

Why do OIC Rose Pratt and other officers of Dorset Police discriminate against victims of domestic violence?

When my ex-partner broke my nose, I didn't go to the hospital. I was simply ashamed that my partner had beaten me. I set my nose myself, despite the bone crunch, bleeding nose, and terrible pain.

I was evicted from home by Officer Rose Pratt, based solely on false accusations from my ex-partner. There are no other pieces of evidence of my guilt. However, regarding the incident from November 2021, you have my statements, my photographic documentation, and the admission of guilt from my ex-partner (police report dated 24/06/2023, 23:00, #2930 Duell Oliver [...]) The female party also disclosed that a while ago (possibly a year or more ago) the male had pushed her or kicked her in the stomach

after she had hit him, but this was not reported. [...]), and I provided you with the contact information for a witness (whom you haven't contacted in 7 months) to whom my ex-partner spoke after the incident, explaining what happened.

From police reports and statements from my ex-partner, you can read:

[...] I asked him to leave he pushed me against the wall. [...]

[...] he used both hands to push her in the chest and against the door frame. [...]

[...] Andy said "F\*ck off" before he pushed my chest with two hands causing my back to hit the doorframe. [...]

[...] pushed her with two hands against the door frame. [...]

[...] he grabbed a hold of me in a vice-like grip and pushed me forcefully against the wall. [...]

I understand that OIC Rose Pratt meticulously carried out all necessary investigative procedures and documented the injuries of my ex-partner, and a doctor prepared medical documentation of all injuries. Otherwise, I am forced to conclude that Dorset Police and Officer Rose Pratt do not treat all individuals equally, and I will feel harassed by Officer Rose Pratt.

As an accused party in serious crimes, I demand information on whether the police have conducted the necessary documentation of the injuries to the applicant. If so, please send me the above-mentioned medical and photographic documentation, as they are essential for proving my guilt or innocence in court. If Dorset Police did not perform photographic documentation or a medical examination, it means that Officer Rose Pratt is applying double standards to me and my ex-partner, thereby violating the principle of "equality before the law," a fundamental principle of social justice and the basis of a democratic rule of law.

Victims' Code are government guidelines instructing, among other things, the police on how to deal with crime victims. This government website clearly defines who is a victim of crime:

3. Non-compliance with the "Victim's Code" This Code acknowledges that the terms 'complainant' and 'survivor' are often used in the criminal justice system to describe a person who has made a criminal allegation to the police. However, for the purpose of this Code, the definition of a 'victim' is:

a person who has suffered harm, including physical, mental or emotional harm or economic loss which was directly caused by a criminal offence  
As I proved in my previous article, I am a victim of both physical and psychological domestic violence. Therefore, I would like to know which legal act allows Dorset Police and OIC Rose Pratt to disregard the Victims' Code?

According to the government website, all the organizations listed below must adhere to the Victims' Code:

Police and Crime Commissioners

All police forces in England and Wales, the British Transport Police and the Ministry of Defence Police

Police Witness Care Units

The Crown Prosecution Service

Her Majesty's Courts and Tribunals Service

Her Majesty's Prison and Probation Service

The National Probation Service

The Parole Board for England and Wales

The Criminal Cases Review Commission

The Criminal Injuries Compensation Authority

The UK Supreme Court

Youth Offending Teams

Officer Rose Pratt and other officers of Dorset Police have violated many points of the Victims' Code.

Right to information when reporting a crime

I reported being assaulted by my then-partner to Dorset Police three times.

On June 25, 2024, to Officer Rose Pratt.

On July 9, 2023, by phone.

In July 2023, online. Officer Emma Chubb contacted me twice at that time, but since then completely ignored my emails and attempts to contact me.

I never received written confirmation of reporting the crime.

According to the law, I should have received written confirmation of my allegations. This confirmation should contain basic information about the crime committed, the crime reference number, and the contact details of the police officer handling my case. All information under this law must be provided within 5 working days from the moment of reporting the crime.

Why did I not receive written confirmation from Dorset Police?

According to the law, I should also receive information about the rights of crime victims and how to obtain necessary support.

Why did Dorset Police not provide me with information about the rights of crime victims and how to obtain necessary support?

Referral to services providing support to victims of crime and offering services and support tailored to the needs of the victim

According to the law, when reporting a crime, I have the right to receive support.

Why did Dorset Police officers not provide me, as a crime victim, with the support required by law?

Right to information about the investigation and prosecution

Since reporting to Officer Rose Pratt on June 25, 2023, I have practically been unable to obtain any information about the investigation. Many of my questions and emails directed to Dorset Police officers are ignored by them.

Why do Dorset Police officers not comply with the Victims' Code and fail to inform me, as a victim of domestic violence, about the progress of the investigation?

Failure to comply with guidelines on ethical and professional conduct in the police

In addition to not adhering to the Victims' Code, OIC Rose Pratt and other officers of Dorset Police also do not comply with guidelines on ethical and professional conduct for police officers.

These guidelines describe how police officers should behave, yet Dorset Police officers, especially Officer Rose Pratt, do not adhere to these guidelines, tarnishing the reputation of other officers.

Officers should act truthfully, trustworthy, and honestly

As I demonstrated in my previous article, Dorset Police officers lie in police reports. When I asked them to provide evidence for the accusations they made against me, I was completely ignored.

I again request Dorset Police to provide evidence of the lies made against me by Dorset Police officers.

Police officers cannot knowingly make false, misleading, or inaccurate oral or written statements

As mentioned above, lying in police reports and making false police reports not only violates guidelines for police work but also is against the law.

Police officers must ensure that their behaviour and language are not discriminatory.

As I demonstrated in my previous article in point 4, Officer Rose Pratt discriminates against a domestic violence victim based on gender.

Why does the leadership of Dorset Police allow officers from their unit to discriminate against domestic violence victims based on gender?



Regarding the above allegations concerning police work, I filed an official complaint on March 23, 2024, and I am awaiting a response. As soon as I receive it, I will provide information about it in this or subsequent articles.

Taking the above facts into account, I demand compensation from Dorset Police for the unlawful eviction from my home, for ruining my business, for lost income, and for ruining the lives of my daughters. I also demand the dismissal from service of all officers involved in this case, who have lied about me in official police reports, violated the law, and failed to comply with the "Victim's Code".

I understand that Dorset Police evicted me from my home and issued a restraining order based on a report filed by my ex-partner. However, firstly, it was not my partner who evicted me from the house, as she did not have that authority. It was Dorset Police who evicted me from my home and prohibited me from returning, ruining my life. Secondly, on the very first day of the investigation, Dorset Police had serious reasons to conclude that my ex-partner was lying, as a police officer already noticed inconsistencies in her statements at that time:

*She has then told these officers about the previous assault and stated that he strangled her before -- note that when asked by earlier officers if there had been any other assaults she said no, and she said no when ppn question about strangulation, possible this will need revisiting for clarification. Police Disclosure Page 4 and 5 D.12 and D.13*

Sincerely

Andrzej Majewski

[andre.aplauz@gmail.com](mailto:andre.aplauz@gmail.com)

07404958756